

RACHEL H. MITCHELL
MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas P. Liddy (019384)
Joseph J. Branco (031474)
Joseph E. LaRue (031348)
Karen J. Hartman-Tellez (021121)
Deputy County Attorneys
MCAO Firm No. 0003200

CIVIL SERVICES DIVISION
225 West Madison Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Telephone (602) 506-8541
Facsimile (602) 506-4316
liddyt@mcao.maricopa.gov
brancoj@mcao.maricopa.gov
laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov
hartmank@mcao.maricopa.gov
ca-civilmailbox@mcao.maricopa.gov

Emily Craiger (Bar No. 021728)
emily@theburgesslawgroup.com
THE BURGESS LAW GROUP
3131 East Camelback Road, Suite 224
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
Telephone: (602) 806-2100

*Attorneys for the Defendant
Maricopa County Board of Supervisors*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Kari Lake and Mark Finchem,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
Kathleen Hobbs, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-00677-JJT

**SECRETARY OF STATE AND
MARICOPA COUNTY DEFENDANTS'
JOINT NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL
AUTHORITY**

1 Defendants Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs (the “Secretary”) and the
 2 Maricopa County Board of Supervisors jointly submit this Notice of Supplemental Authority
 3 in Support of the Secretary’s Motion to Strike the Declarations of Ben Cotton and Douglas
 4 Logan and the Motion in Limine to Exclude their Testimony. [See Doc. 74; *see also* Doc.
 5 75 (Maricopa County Joinder in Motion to Strike)] Specifically, the Secretary and the
 6 Maricopa County Defendants request to supplement the record with the results of the
 7 Arizona Attorney General’s investigation of the Cyber Ninjas’ allegations about deceased
 8 voters, which establish that Cotton and Logan are not qualified to serve as experts about the
 9 administration of Arizona elections because of the unreliability of their work. *See* Fed. R.
 10 Evid. 702.

11 On August 1, 2022, Arizona Attorney General Mark Brnovich sent a letter to Arizona
 12 Senate President Karen Fann about the Attorney General’s investigation of certain
 13 allegations made by Plaintiffs’ witnesses Logan and Cotton in their report to the Arizona
 14 Senate arising out of the “audit” of the 2020 general election results in Maricopa County.
 15 [See Ex. 1] In particular, the “audit” report asserted that “it was found that 282 individuals
 16 who were flagged as deceased prior to October 5, 2020, voted in the election.” *See* Cyber
 17 Ninjas’ Report, Vol. III, § 5.6.6, available at:
 18 https://www.azsenaterepublicans.com/_files/ugd/2f3470_d36cb5eaca56435d84171b4fe7ee6919.pdf. Yet “[a]fter spending hundreds of hours reviewing these allegations, [the Attorney
 19 General’s] investigators were able to determine that only one of the 282 individuals on the
 20 list was deceased at the time of the election. All other persons listed as deceased were found
 21 to be current voters.” [Ex. 1]¹

23 This comes as no surprise to the Maricopa County Defendants, whose own review of
 24 allegedly deceased voters showed far fewer possible instances of a ballot being processed
 25 and counted for a voter who passed away before the election than the 282 voters the Cyber

26 ¹ It is not clear from the Attorney General’s letter whether the one deceased voter died
 27 between the start of early voting on October 5, 2020 and election day on November 3, 2020,
 28 or if that one vote represented someone other than the deceased voter completing the
 deceased voter’s ballot.

1 Ninjas identified. [See Doc. 29-14, Maricopa County, Correcting the Record, at 61-62] The
 2 Attorney General's investigation of potentially deceased voters proves that Logan's and
 3 Cotton's work product lacks the rigor required of an admissible expert opinion. Though
 4 whether deceased voters cast ballots in Maricopa County in 2020 is not before this Court,
 5 the Cyber Ninjas' analysis of such voters as part of their "audit" of Maricopa County's 2020
 6 General Election has been so thoroughly debunked by the Attorney General's investigation
 7 that it casts significant doubt over all of Logan's and Cotton's other conclusions.

8 The results of the Attorney General's investigation further prove that Logan's and
 9 Cotton's analysis of Maricopa County's election administration is unreliable, because it is
 10 based on "unsupported speculation which is no more than unreliable *ipse dixit* guesswork."
 11 *Friend v. Time Mfg. Co.*, 422 F. Supp. 2d 1079, 1080 (D. Ariz. 2005) (citing *General Electric*
 12 *Co. v. Joiner*, 522 U.S. 136, 146 (1997) and *Domingo ex rel. Domingo v. T.K.*, 289 F.3d 600,
 13 607 (9th Cir. 2002)). The Cyber Ninjas' error rate of 99.65% "is the antithesis of the
 14 scientifically reliable expert opinion admissible under *Daubert* and Rule 702." *Cabrera v.*
 15 *Cordis Corp.*, 134 F.3d 1418, 1423 (9th Cir. 1998). As a result, the Court should strike
 16 Logan's and Cotton's declarations and exclude their testimony from the preliminary
 17 injunction hearing.

18 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of August, 2022.

19 RACHEL H. MITCHELL
 20 MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY

21 BY: /s/Karen J. Hartman-Tellez
 22 Thomas P. Liddy
 23 Joseph J. Branco
 24 Joseph E. La Rue
 25 Karen J. Hartman-Tellez
 26 Deputy County Attorneys

27 THE BURGESS LAW GROUP
 28 Emily Craiger

29 *Attorneys for Defendant Maricopa
 30 County Board of Supervisors*

1 COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC
2

3 BY: /s/ D. Andrew Gaona (with permission)
4 Roopal H. Desai
5 D. Andrew Gaona
6 Kristen Yost
7

8 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
10

11 Sambo (Bo) Dul
12 **STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER**
13 8205 South Priest Drive, #10312
14 Tempe, Arizona 85284
15

16 Christine Bass *
17 **STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER**
18 3749 Buchanan Street, Unit 475165
19 San Francisco, California 94147-3103
20 * *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*
21

22 *Attorneys for Defendant*
23 *Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs*
24
25
26
27
28

1
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 I hereby certify that on August 2, 2022, I electronically transmitted the
3 foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and
transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the CM/ECF registrants on record.

4
/s/ Veronica Sisneros
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28